# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

§

§ §

APPLICANT: Brod, S.

FILED: April 21, 1997

SERIAL NO.: 08/844,731

FOR: Methods of Treating

Autoimmune Diseases Using

Type One Interferons

**ART UNIT: 1761** 

**EXAMINER:** 

Sayala, C.

DOCKET: D5716CIP3

The Assistant Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks **BOX AF**Washington, DC 20231

ATTENTION: Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

RECEIVED

GPO! IP 1700

## APPELLANT'S BRIEF

This Brief is in furtherance of the Notice of Appeal filed in this case on December 1, 1998. The fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(f) and any other required fees are dealt with in the accompanying TRANSMITTAL OF APPEAL BRIEF.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.192(a), this Brief is submitted in triplicate.

# INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER

					Page	2
I.	Real	Party in Interes	t	••••	3	
II.	Statu	s of Claims	•••••	•••••	3	
III.	Statu	s of Amendmen	ts	•••••	3	
IV.	State	ment of Rela	ted Appeals a	nd	d Interferences4	
V.	Sumr	nary of Invention	on	•••••	4	
VI.	Issues	•••••	••••••	•••••	5	
VII.	Group	oing of Claims		••••	6	
VIII.	Argur	nents		•••••	7	
IX.	Appe	ndix				
	A.	CLAIMS ON	APPEAL			
	B.	CITED REFER	ENCES			

## I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is the Research Development Foundation, the Assignee, as evidenced by Assignments recorded in the Patent & Trademark Office at Reel 8004, frame 0638, on June 17, 1996, and at Reel 7014, frame 0536, on May 27, 1994.

## II. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Originally, claims 1-18 were filed with this Application. Claims 2, 8, 12, 16, and 17 have been amended. The pending claims 1-18 are being appealed, of which claims 1, 8, 12, and 16 are independent claims.

## III. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

Claims 2, 8, 12, 16, and 17 were amended in the Response to Office Action File August 17, 1998. All pending claims are shown in Appendix A.

# IV. STATEMENT OF RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

To Appellant's knowledge, the pending appeal of related applications Serial Nos. 08/631,470 and 08/946,710 may directly affect or be affected by the present appeal.

## V. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention describes the oral administration of a type one interferon in an animal for the treatment of an autoimmune condition (Page 19, lines 22-24). Generally, interferon useful in the methods of the present invention is either alpha-interferon or beta-interferon (Page 19, line 24; Page 20, lines 1-2). A variety of auto-immune diseases may be treated by the methods of the present invention including multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes mellitus, psoriasis, organ-specific autoimmune diseases, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy and Guillain-Barré syndrome (Page 20, lines In particular, the incidence of insulin-dependent diabetes 14-19). mellitus in an at-risk populations can be reduced by the oral

administration of INF- $\alpha$  to individuals of the at-risk population (Page 21, lines 1-4).

## VI. <u>ISSUES</u>

## A. <u>35 U.S.C. §102</u>

(1) Whether claims 1-5 and 6-7 are anticipated by Cummins, Jr. (U.S. Patent 5,019,382) under 35 U.S.C. §102(b).

### B. <u>35 U.S.C. §103</u>

- (1) Whether claim 5 is obvious over Cummins, Jr. (U.S. Patent 5019382) under 35 U.S.C. §103.
- (2) Whether claims 1-18 are obvious over by Cummins, Jr. (U.S. Patent 5019382) in view of Shibutani et al. (Iyakuhin Kenkyu, vol. 18(4), pp. 571-82, 1987) and abstracts of WO 94/20122, Gross et al. and Giron et al. under 35 U.S.C. §103.

### C <u>35 U.S.C. §101</u>

(1) Whether claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as claiming the same invention of claims 1-7 of copending application Serial No. 08/631470.

## D. Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

(1) Whether claims 8-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over copending application Serial No. 08/631470 in view of the abstracts of WO 94/20122, Gross et al. and Giron et al.

# E Sequence Disclosures Under 37 CFR 1.821(a) (1) and (a) (2)

(1) A computer readable form (CRF) of the Sequence Listing was submitted but the CRF could not be processed by the Scientific and Technical Information Center (STIC) due to errors therein.

## VII. GROUPING OF CLAIMS

The rejected claims do not stand or fall together. The Applicant considers claims 1-18 to lie in four embodiments of the

present invention. Claims 1-7 are drawn to a method of treating an disease in an animal by ingestion of a type one auto-immune Claim 8-11 are drawn to a method of decreasing interferon. incidence of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in at risk population through oral administration and ingestion of interferon- $\alpha$  in individuals of the at risk population. Claims 12-17 describe a method of reducing blood glucose levels in an animal by oral administration and ingestion of interferon-α. Claims 16-18 entail oral administration ingestion of interferon-α in at-risk and individuals to decrease the onset of insulin-dependent mellitus in an at risk population.

### VIII. ARGUMENTS

### A. <u>35 U.S.C.</u> §102

(1) The rejection of claims 1-5 and 6-7 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Cummins, Jr. (U.S. Patent 5019382) was maintained in the final office action mailed October 23, 1998. The Examiner contends that col. 4, lines 19-36; col. 5, lines 50-55; col. 6, lines 12-26; col. 13; and, the claims of Cummins, Jr. (U.S. Patent

5,019,382) anticipate claims 1-5 and 6-7. The Examiner claims, "Such disclosure meets the claims." The Appellant respectfully disagrees.

It is well established in United States patent law that an anticipatory reference under 35 U.S.C. §102 must be enabling to the same degree as an invention seeking patent protection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. An analysis of Cummins reveals deficiencies in regard to enablement which prevent Cummins from anticipating the Applicant's invention. The Appellant's position is supported by two Declarations Under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 submitted previously, the Rule 1.132 Declarations of Dr. John Lindsey, M.D., Assistant Professor of Neurology, and Dr. Jerry S. Wolinsky, M.D., Professor or Neurology. Both Declarants are skilled in the area of autoimmune diseases in general and in multiple sclerosis and diabetes in particular. These Declarations support and expand upon the following arguments of the Appellant.

Within Column 4, lines 19-36 of Cummins, the disease conditions are listed to which the Cummins patent may be directed: "... include apparent autoimmune disorders characterized by a chronic tissue degenerative inflammatory condition. Diseases so characterized include multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis,

stomatitis, and lupus erythematosus." However, only one anecdotal example is given describing treatment of multiple sclerosis and none for human lupus erythematosus. Most of the diseases actually described in the specification of Cummins have no autoimmune basis (eg. cancers, acne, warts). Instead, most of the specification and all of the claims describe the treatment of conditions of viral origin. In addition, the vast majority of the conditions in Cummins supported by actual clinical data are veterinarian diseases such as canine lupus erythematosus. These points have been addressed by Dr. Wolinsky, who states:

The experimental database relied upon in the Cummins patent is extremely limited. Whereas reasonable information is provided for the treatment of shipping fever in cattle, Cummins' additional claims regarding the administration of interferon to treat viral and inflammatory disease are based on pure speculation or limited anecdotal evidence.

The specification of the instant application, in contrast, has targeted a much broader spectrum of autoimmune diseases including 27 cases of multiple sclerosis and 18 cases of treating autoimmune conditions in animals in which the type one interferon is orally administered and immediately ingested.

Cummins provides only one anecdotal example of the use of interferon treatment in multiple sclerosis. This one anecdotal example, however, is neither conclusive nor enabling. The case

involved a 30-year-old Caucasian female who received treatment for twenty-one days and had no recurrence of neurologic symptoms for nine months. As any clinician can attest, multiple sclerosis is a highly variable disease with unpredictable periods of remission and relapse. Therefore, a single individual having no recurrence of symptoms for nine months is hardly remarkable and certainly not conclusive. In contrast, the instant application contains data from 27 multiple sclerosis patients.

On page 6 of the office action mailed October 23, 1998, the Examiner states: "A patent cannot be called 'non-enabling' because the applicant has produced data from 27 patients ... versus one example in the patent used." The Appellant does not consider the Cummins patent "non-enabling" merely because the applicant has produced data from more patients. Rather, the Appellant considers the one example given in the patent to be far from conclusive. One skilled in the art would recognize that a nine month remission in multiple sclerosis patent is hardly remarkable give than such a remission is common in untreated multiple sclerosis patients as well. The Appellant points out that there are no claims in the Cummins patent regarding multiple sclerosis.

In addition to the multiple sclerosis patient, Cummins also cites cases of treatment involving malignant lymphoma mesothelioma, and apthous stomatitis which are entirely anecdotal. One having ordinary skill in the art would find these anecdotal examples to be literally incredible and unclear in interpretation of therapeutic efficacy and therefore nonenabling. In fact, this section of Cummins reads more like a marketing tract than a description of a conclusive clinical investigation.

The Appellant's contention that Cummins fails to anticipate the instant invention is substantiated by both Rule 1.132 Declarations. On page 2 of his Declaration, Dr. Wolinsky states:

It is my considered opinion that none of the supporting evidence in **Cummins** is in any way adequate to allow a reasonable physician, i.e. a person with ordinary skill in the art, with a reasonable expectation of being able to successfully utilize oral administration of interferon for the treatment of inflammatory autoimmune diseases such as multiple sclerosis or diabetes.

Likewise, Dr. Lindsey states on page 6 in his Rule 1.132 Declaration,

... the extremely limited clinical anecdotes presented in **Cummins** would not provide a person with ordinary skill in this art with a reasonable expectation of being able to successfully treat an autoimmune disease such as multiple sclerosis or diabetes by orally administering alpha interferon.

Cummins also fails to anticipate the instant invention because of significant differences in the routes of administration of

the interferon. Appellant's claim 1 recites, "A method of treating an auto-immune disease in an animal comprising the step of orally administering a type one interferon such that the type one interferon is ingested immediately upon administration". This differs considerably from the method of **Cummins** as stated in col. 4, lines 37-43:

It is critical that the interferon be administered in a dosage form adapted to assure maximum contact of the interferon in said dosage form with the oral and pharyngeal mucosa of the human or animal. Contact of interferon can be enhanced by maximizing residence time of the treatment solution in the oral or pharyngeal cavity. Thus, best result seem to be achieved in human patients when the patient is requested to hold said solution of interferon in the mouth for a period of time.

With regards to this issue, Dr. Lindsey stated the following in his Rule 1.132 Declaration:

Cummins stressed that contact with the oral or pharyngeal mucosa should be maximized... Clearly, contact of the interferon solution with the oral or pharyngeal mucosa was essential while contact with the gastric or intestinal mucosa was inconsequential.

Thus, it is clear that the Cummins, Jr. patent actually argues against the immediate ingestion of the interferon, teaches away from the current application and certainly does not provide each and every component of the Appellant's claimed methods.

The Examiner has also cited column 13 of Cummins as anticipating the instant invention which is concerned with the formation of the interferon in the form of lozenges, chewable vitamins, mouthwash, syrup, or effervescent tablets. All of these formulations are designed to maximize contact with the oral and pharyngeal mucosa rather than to facilitate ingestion. For example, in the case of the lozenge, Cummins states: "The patient is instructed to hold the lozenge in his mouth until it is completely dissolved to release the interferon component for contact with the oral mucosa."

In the applicant's animal experiments, animals were fed (implying ingestion) interferon using a 20 gauge ball point needle "placed in the posterior oropharynx (Page 29, line 23)." Such placement results in delivery of the interferon directly to the distal esophagus, stomach and small intestine and bypasses contact with the oral and pharyngeal mucosa. This was verified experimentally by injecting Evan's Blue during routine feeding and sacrifice which confirmed that no contact with the oral or pharyngeal mucosa occurred. Dr. Wolinsky states on page 3 of his Declaration, "Convincing data that shows that delivery of the dose of oral interferon must be into the postduodenal small intestine in order to be effective is presented..."

In the Appellant's clinical studies with human subjects, the interferon was ingested which allowed brief exposure to the oral mucosa. However, this mucosal contact was de minimus unlike in Cummins where attempts were made to maximize the contact with the oral and pharyngeal mucosa. This distinction between Cummins' method and the instant invention is further supported by Dr. Lindsey on page 3 of his declaration:

In Applicant's clinical studies with both normal human volunteers and patients with multiple sclerosis, the type one interferon dosage was "ingested." This very briefly exposed the oral/pharyngeal mucosa to the interferon, however no attempts at maximizing contact with the oral/pharyngeal mucosa were made, nor would there have been any significant absorption of the interferon through the oral or pharyngeal mucosa.

In reference to the applicant's method of administration, Dr. Wolinsky states on page 3 of his Declaration, "...this specific route of administration is quite unlike the oral mucosa swish technique described by Cummins." In addition, in Cummins, the interferon was sometimes discharged from the patient's mouth without ingestion (Col. 12, lines 27-29 of Cummins). In contrast, the Appellant's specification shows the necessity of interferon interacting with intestinal sites and Peyer's patches.

On page 6 of the Office action of October 23, 1998, the examiner argues that there is "nothing clearly distinguishable

1

between 'orally administering . . . such that the . . . interferon is ingested after oral administration' and the Cummins mode." distinguishing characteristics have been described in detail supra. The Examiner continues "Applicant has argued . . . in his specification ... that the interferon was fed through a needle inserted into the stomach . . . There are no such limitations in the claims, however, and the relevance of this in view of the instantly claimed limitations is not clear." First of all, the mode of administration was used in the animal experiments to assure that the interferon made no oral or pharyngeal contact. It is noted here to prove that the interferon is having its effects through gastric contact rather than through the oral mucosa as in Cummins. The relevance of this citation is to that the ingested interferon is responsible for the demonstrate experimental results. The Examiner also argues that the claims do not recite anything to indicate that there is to be only brief exposure of the interferon to the oral mucosa. The claims state that the interferon is to be ingested upon oral administration. This would in of itself imply that the interferon is only in contact with the oral mucosa during the swallowing process, a process which takes a fairly brief period of time.

Finally, the dosages of interferon used by Cummins are smaller than in the instant application. In Cummins, the dosages of interferon are given in Col. 4, lines 24-32. These range from 0.01 to 5 I.U./lb. per day. The instant application, in contrast, uses dosages ranging from 5 I.U./kg to about 50,000 I.U./kg (which corresponds to 2.3 I.U./lb. to 23,000 I.U./lb.,), a much greater range than that of Cummins. More importantly, as pointed out by Dr. Lindsey, "...the doses found to most effective are around two orders of magnitude, or 100 times, higher than the maximum dose recommended by Cummins."

In the page 6 of the Office Action of October 23, 1998, the Examiner contends that the rejected claims do not contain the limitations on which the applicant has based his arguments. The Appellant points out that claim 4 specifically refers to a dosage of from about 50 I.U./kg to about 25,000 I.U./kg. While the preferred doses are not listed in the claims, these can be obtained from the specification and fall within the range listed in claim 4.

Another point of departure from Cummins is discussed by Dr. Wolinsky on page 4 of his Rule 1.132 Declaration:

Particularly important is Applicant's demonstration of an unusual dose-response relationship for oral administration of type one interferons to have any effect. It is meticulously demonstrated that both doses that are too low and doses that

are too high lack any clinical benefit in animal models of human autoimmune disease... Applicant's work thus defines a likely range of doses of type one oral interferons that would be clinically efficacious in humans with multiple sclerosis, diabetes and other inflammatory autoimmune diseases.

No such relationship is discussed in Cummins.

In reference to the described dosage regimen, the Examiner cites col. 5, lines 50-55 of **Cummins** which states:

Daily dosage of interferon can be administered as a single dose or, preferably, it is divided and administered in a multiple-dose daily regimen. A staggered regimen, for example one to three days of treatment per week or month, can be uses as an alternative to continuous daily treatment." (Emphasis added).

The Examiner contends that this anticipates the every other day administration of interferon described in the instant application. The Appellant respectfully disagrees. The first portion teaches a multiple-dose daily regimen rather than an alternate day regimen. In the second section, the spacing of the one to three days of treatment per week or month is not discussed and the Cummins patent is therefore non-enabling in that regard.

In the office action of October 23, 1998, the examiner states that the Examiner does not understand the Appellant's pointing out of Col. 6, lines 50-55 of Cummins. The applicant would like to point out that it was the Examiner who first cited this section

in the 35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection of the claims. An alternate day dosage is not specifically mentioned in said citation as would be expected in a 35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection. Furthermore, no claims are made in **Cummins** regarding the dosage schedule.

Thus, the Appellant maintains that such substantive differences exist between the method of Cummins and the claims presented in the instant application that the Cummins patent fails to anticipate the current claims. Therefore, the Appellant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1-5 and 6-7 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Cummins be reversed.

### B. <u>35 U.S.C. §103</u>

(1) Claims 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as obvious over Cummins, Jr. (U.S. Patent 5019382). Specifically, in the Office Action mailed February 17, 1998, the Examiner cited Col. 5, lines 50-55 of Cummins as suggesting an alternating day dosage regimen. The Appellant respectfully disagrees.

The Cummins patent refers to a multiple-dose daily regimen rather than an alternate day regimen. While a regimen of one to three days per week or month is discussed, this is alluded to as a less preferred mode of administration by Cummins. In

addition, the specific spacing of the treatment is not discussed. It is unclear whether this section refers to three continuous days on treatment followed by a period of days without treatment or whether it refers to single days of treatment separated by days without treatment. As such, the section is non-enabling and does not render claim 5 obvious. Undue experimentation would be necessary to try the other possible combinations of days on therapy versus days off therapy. Therefore, the Appellant respectfully requests that the rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as obvious over Cummins be reversed.

obvious over Cummins, Jr. (U.S. Patent 5019382) in view of Shibutani et al. (Iyakuhin Kenkyu, vol . 18(4), pp. 571-82, 1987) and the abstracts of WO94/20122, Gross et al. and Giron et al.. Based on the interferon toxicity data of Shibutani, the Examiner argues that interferon would be tolerated well at high doses, and therefore, it would be obvious to administer the higher dose regimen described in the instant application. The Appellant respectfully disagrees.

The **Shibutani** abstract describes the lack of toxicity of human beta interferon in mice and rats. The **Shibutani** abstract does not means teach or suggest a method of oral administration of a type one interferon in the treatment of autoimmune diseases. In addition, the lack of toxicity of higher doses does not imply that those doses would be efficacious in the treatment of autoimmune diseases, and further undue experimentation would be necessary to determine if higher doses would indeed be useful in the treatment of a particular disease.

In the Rule 1.132 declarations, the issue of dosage is described in some detail. The instant application is precise and meticulous in its delineation of a dose-response relationship for oral administration and ingestion of type one interferons. This relationship is somewhat exceptional. No overlap exists in the dosages used by Cummins and the doses found to most effective in the present invention which are about two order of magnitude greater than those used by Cummins. Furthermore, Dr. Wolinsky has pointed out that the Applicant has "meticulously demonstrated that both doses that are too low and doses that are too high lack any clinical benefit." One skilled in art would not be able to determine

this from the combination of Cummins and Shibutani without extensive, undue experimentation.

Ironically, after citing **Shibutani** to suggest that higher doses would not be toxic, the Examiner contradictorily lists toxicity as one of the reason why an alternative day dose regimen is obvious (Page 4, line 6 of February 17, 1998 Office Action and Page 4, line 4 of October 23, 1998 office action). The Appellant points out that it is not clear whether an alternative day regimen or a period of on-days followed by a period of off days is suggested in **Cummins**. Therefore, it would require additional undue experimentation to determine which regimen is most effective for treatment.

The abstract of Gross et al. has no relevance to the instant invention. This reference reports the use of alpha interferon, injected subcutaneously, to treat condylomata in an individual who also happened to be diabetic. There is no relationship between the diabetes and the administration of the interferon. That is, the interferon is not used to treat the diabetes and no therapeutic effect on the diabetes is reported. To the contrary, Gross reports that "blood glucose levels remained constant with the concomitant insulin therapy," indicating that no additional effect on the diabetes was elicited from the interferon.

Likewise, the abstract of Giron et al. is also This abstract reports on the antiviral effects of alpha inappropriate. interferon on murine encephalomyocarditis virus which is a diabetogenic virus. However, this is a quite different mechanism of pathogenesis from the instant invention in which alpha interferon is used to treat spontaneous autoimmune diabetes rather than to fight a viral infection as in Gross.

WO94/20122 is an abstract for a patent pertaining to a method of treating "an asymptomatic preclinical autoimmune state in a mammal" or inhibiting "rejection of transplanted islet cells or a pancreas in a mammal." These do not pertain to the instant invention.

In addition, as described supra, the higher dose regimen is only one of several differences between Cummins and the present application. The differences in route of administration, dosage regimen, and degree of clinical enablement have already been discussed in depth previously herein. The human clinical data in the Cummins specification is highly anecdotal and non-enabling. A person having ordinary skill in the art would not be likely to believe Cummins' rhetoric regarding the importance of contact with the oropharyngeal membranes nor would such a person be motivated to

make the instant application based on this work. These deficiencies are not resolved by the addition of the Shibutani, WO94/20122, Gross et al. and Giron et al.. abstracts.

In reference to the combination of Cummins, Shibutani et al., Gross et al., Giron et al., and WO94/20122, Dr. Lindsey writes:

Clearly, one with ordinary skill in the art of autoimmune pathophysiology and treatment would not expect clinical efficacy in humans from the oral administration of alpha interferon after having read the Cummins and Shibutani et al., Gross et al., Giron et al., and WO 94/20122 references. In fact, the opposite expectation that interferon would have no effect is more reasonable. Interferon is a protein and proteins are broken down in the gastrointestinal tract. Thus, a person having ordinary skill in this art would expect interferon to be inactive when swallowed. Hence, the claimed methods not only are not obvious to one of ordinary skill, they are also counterintuitive.

On this same point, Dr. Wolinsky writes:

... a person with ordinary skill in this art would expect interferons to be biologically inert after being swallowed... Such a person could not have derived the approach detailed in the instant invention after having read the Cummins and Shibutani et al., Gross et al., Giron et al., WO 94/20122 and Sobel references.

On page 7 of the Office Action of October 23, 1998, the Examiner argues that the Applicant has improperly criticized the references individually instead of the combination of the references. The Appellant respectfully disagrees. The many deficiencies in

Cummins relative to the instant invention have been discussed in depth. It was demonstrated that these deficiencies are not resolved by the addition of the Shibutani and the WO94/20122, Gross, and Giron abstracts. None of these references discuss ingestion of While Shibutani indicates that a higher dosage is interferons. nontoxic, no combination of the references suggests that oral administration and ingestion of such a higher dosage is effective for the treatment of autoimmune diseases. The combination of the references also fails to suggest that autoimmune-induced diabetes mellitis is prevented by interferon treatment. Diabetes is not discussed at all in either Cummins or Shibutani. Gross merely discusses treatment of separate condition in a coincidentally diabetic individual but not the treatment of the diabetes itself. Giron discusses the anti-viral effect of interferon on a diabetogenic virus; this is a treatment which is not always effective and which can actually exacerbate the problem. In any case, it is a separate mechanism of pathogenesis from that of spontaneous autoimmuneinduced diabetes. The abstract of WO9420122 (Sobel) does discuss the treatment of a preclinical autoimmune state but does specify which autoimmune conditions were treated. The prevention of the rejection of transplanted islet cells results from inhibition of

the mechanisms responsible for host rejection of allogeneic and xenogeneic tissue grafts.

In conclusion, no combination of the cited references would provide a person having ordinary skill in the art with a reasonable expectation of successfully creating the instant invention. Accordingly, the Appellant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1-18 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as obvious over Cummins in view of Shibutani, WO 94/20122 (Sobel), Gross, and Giron be reversed.

### C <u>35 U.S.C. §101</u>

(1) Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as claiming the same invention of claims 1-7 of copending application Serial No. 08/631470. Should either application be allowed, the Appellant will cancel claims 1-7 in the instant application.

## D. Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

(1) Whether claims 8-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over copending application Serial No. 08/631470 in view of the abstracts of WO94/20122, Gross et al. and Giron et al. Should either

application be allowed, the Appellant will submit a terminal disclaimer in a timely manner.

## E. Sequence Disclosures Under 37 CFR 1.821(a) (1) and (a) (2)

(1) A computer readable form (CRF) of the Sequence Listing was submitted but the CRF could not be processed by the Scientific and Technical Information Center (STIC). This was the result of errors in lines <211> of sequences 1 and 5 in relation to the number of nucleotide present and in the formatting or the listed nucleotides. Should the application be allowed, Applicant will submit a corrected Sequence Listing and Disk.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3/1/99

Benjamin Aaron Adler, Ph.D., J.D.

Registration No. 35,423 Counsel for Appellant

McGREGOR & ADLER, LLP 8011 Candle Lane Houston, Texas 77071 (713) 777-2321 BAADLER@flash.net

### APPENDIX A

## CLAIMS ON APPEAL

- 1. A method of treating an auto-immune disease in an animal comprising the step of orally administering a type one interferon to said animal such that the type one interferon is ingested after oral administration.
- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein said interferon is selected from alpha-interferon (IFN- $\alpha$ ) and beta-interferon.
- 3. The method of claim 2, wherein said interferon is selected from the group consisting of human recombinant interferon, rat interferon and murine interferon.
- 4. The method of claim 2, wherein said interferon is administered in a dosage of from about 50 I.U./kg to about 25,000 I.U./kg.
- 5. The method of claim 1, wherein said interferon is administered every other day.
- 6. The method of claim 1, wherein said animal is a human.

- 7 The method of claim 1, wherein said auto-immune disease is selected from the group consisting of multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes mellitus, psoriasis, organ-specific auto-immune diseases, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy and Guillain-Barré syndrome.
- 8. A method of decreasing the incidence of insulindependent diabetes mellitus in at-risk populations, comprising the step of orally administering IFN- $\alpha$  to individuals of said at-risk population.
- 9. The method of claim 8, wherein said interferon is selected from the group consisting of human recombinant interferon, rat interferon and murine interferon.
- 10. The method of claim 8, wherein said interferon is administered in a dosage of from about 5 I.U./kg to about 50,000 I.U./kg.
- 11. The method of claim 8, wherein said interferon is administered every other day.
- 12. A method of reducing blood glucose levels in an animal comprising the step of orally administering IFN- $\alpha$  to said animal such that the IFN- $\alpha$  is ingested after oral administration.

- 13. The method of claim 12, wherein said interferon is selected from the group consisting of human recombinant interferon, rat interferon and murine interferon.
- 14. The method of claim 12, wherein said interferon is administered in a dosage of from about 50 I.U./kg to about 25,000 I.U./kg.
- 15. The method of claim 12, wherein said animal is a human.
- 16. A method of decreasing the onset of insulindependent diabetes mellitus in at-risk populations, comprising the step of orally administering IFN- $\alpha$  to individuals of said at-risk population.
- 17. The method of claim 16, wherein said IFN- $\alpha$  is selected from the group consisting of human recombinant interferon, rat interferon and murine interferon.
- 18. The method of claim 16, wherein said interferon is administered in a dosage of from about 50 I.U./kg to about 25,000 I.U./kg.

## United States Patent [19]

Cummins, Jr.

[11] Patent Number:

5,019,382

[45] Date of Patent:

May 28, 1991

[54]	TREATMENT OF IMMUNO-RESISTANT
	DISEASE WITH LOW-DOSE INTERFERON

[75] Inventor: Joseph M. Cummins, Jr., Amarillo,

Tex.

[73] Assignee: The Texas A&M University System,

College Station, Tex.

[21] Appl. No.: 465,527

[22] Filed: Jan. 17, 1990

### Related U.S. Application Data

[63]	Continuation of Ser. No. 927,834, Nov. 6, 1986, abandoned.
------	--

[51]		***************************************	A61K 37/66
[52]	U.S. Cl.		424/85.4; 424/85.6;
			424/85.7

[58] Field of Search ...... 424/85.4, 85.6, 85.7

#### [56] References Cited

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,536,809	10/1970	Applezweig .	
3,906,092	9/1975	Hilleman et al	424/85
3,972,995	8/1976	Tsuk et al	,
4,053,582	10/1977	Stickl	424/89
4,226,848	10/1980	Nagai et al	, 0,
4,250,163	2/1981	Nagai et al.".	
4,273,703	6/1981	Osther et al	424/85
4,276,282	6/1981	Sugimoto et al	, .,
4,460,574	7/1984	Yabrov	474/85
4,462,985	7/1984	Cummins, Jr.	
4,497,795	5/1985	-Cummins	
4,572,832	2/1986	Kigasawa et al	121703
4,605,555	4/1988	Sato et al.	474/85
4,649,075	3/1987	Jost .	424/ UJ
4,675,184	6/1987	Hasegawa et al	474/85
4,699,136	10/1987	Krauser .	727/03
4,710,191	12/1987	Kwiatek et al.	
4.713.239	12/1987	Babaian et al	
4,746,508		Carey et al	
4,764,378	9/1988	Keith et al.	

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

4841285 4/1986 Australia

0107498 1/1984 European Pat. Off. .

0177342 4/1986 European Pat. Off. .

0180737 5/1986 Fed. Rep. of Germany.

81/01103 8/1981 Int'l Pat. Institute .

### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Sandstrom, Eric, Drugs, vol. 31, pp. 463-466, 1986. "Stimulation of Humoral Immunity by Interferon", III Mediterranean Congress of Chemotherapy, Smerdel, S., et al., Sep. 21-24, 1982 Presentation, vol. 2, p. 132, Oct. 1983.

"Interferon Preparations as Modifiers of Immune Responses", Braun, W., Levy, H.B., Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.. vol. 141, pp. 769-773, 1972.

"Interferon and the Immune System: A Review (Limited to Alpha and Beta Interferons)", De Maeyer, Edward, The Biology of the Interferon System, pp. 203-209, Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press, 1981.

"Colorectal Administration of Human Interferon-Al-

pha", Bocci et al., International Journal of Pharmaceutics, vol. 24, pp. 109-114, 1985.

"Interferon Administered Orally: Protection of Neonatal Mice From Lethal Virus Challenge", Schafer, T., et al., Science, Jun. 23, 1972, vol. 176, pp. 1326–1327.

"Circulating Interferon in Rabbits After Administration of Human Interferon by Different Routes", Cantel, K. and Ryhala, L., Journal of General Virology, (1973), 20, pp. 97-104.

"Pharmacokinetics of Recombinant Alpha A Interferon Following IV Infusion and Bolus, IM, and PO Administrations to African Green Monkeys", Wills, R. J., Spiegel, H. E., and Soikel, K. F., Journal of Interferon Research, vol. 4, No. 3, 1984, pp. 399-409.

"Pharmacokinetics of Recombinant Leukocyte A Interferon Following Various Routes and Modes of Administration to the Dog", Gibson, D. M., et al., Journal of Interferon Research, 5:403-408 (1985).

"Effect of Virus-Induced Interferon On the Antibody Response of Suckling and Adult Mice", Vignauz, F., et al., Eur. J. Immunol., vol. 10, pp. 767-772, 1980.

"The Clinical Use of Human Leukocyte Interferon in Viral Infections", Ikic, D., et al., International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, Therapy and Toxicology, vol. 19, No. 11, pp. 498-505, 1981.

"Primjena Humanog Leukocitnog Interferona U Male Djece Sa Gingivostomatitisom", Salaj-Rakic, T., et al., Proceedings-Yougoslav-Pediatric Congress, Sarayevo, 1979, p. 730.

Rodder, H., Thumann, D., Thumann, E., Tierarztliche Umschau, vol. 34, No. 10, 1979, pp. 720-724 (Summary).

Toneva, V., Bulletin de l'Office International des Epizooties, vol. 88, 1977, pp. 631-637 (Summary).

"In Vivo and Clinical Studies", Norman B. Finter & Robert K. Oldham, eds., Interferon, vol. 4, 1985, pp. 137, 148, 173, 218, 226, 284, 285, 330, Chemical Abstracts, vol. 67, 1967, p. 7536 [80070u], Litvinov, A. N. "Time and Dosage Dependence of Immunoenhancement by Murine Type II Interferon Preparations", Cellular Immunology, 40, 1978, pp. 285-293.

"Interferons and Their Actions", W. E. Stewart II and A. A. Gottlieb, eds., 1977, pp. 102-104.

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Howard E. Schain
Assistant Examiner—Choon Koh
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Barnes & Thornburg

#### 57] ABSTRACT

Neoplastic disease, hyperallergenicity, autoimmune disorders characterized by chronic tissue degenerative inflammation and immuno-resistant viral infections are treated by the administration of interferon at a dosage of about 0.1 to about 5 IU/lb per day by contacting said interferon with oral/pharyngeal mucosa. Interferon is administered in solution or in a novel solid unitary dosage form adapted to be dissolved in saliva when placed in the mouth.

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Antiviral Effect of Bacterially Produced Human Inteferon (Hu-IFNa<sub>2</sub>) Against Experimental Vaccinia Infection in Calves", *Journal of Interferon Research*, 5:129-136, 1985, Werenne, J., Broecke, C. V., Schwers, A., Goossens, A., Bugyaki, L., et al.

"Effect of Human Leukocyte A Interferon on Prevention of Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis Virus Infection of Cattle", Roney, C. S. et al., Am J. Vet. Res., vol.

46, No, 6, Jun. 1985, pp. 1251-1255.

"Response of Feline Leukemia Virus-Induced Nonregenerative Anemia to Oral Administration of an Interferon-Containing Preparation", Feline Practice, vol. 12, No. 3, May-Jun. 1982, pp. 6-15, Tompkins, M. B. and Cummins, J. M.

"Interferon Enters the Fray", Farm Journal, Oct. 1985, pp. 12-13, Miller, B.

"Interferon as an Adjuvant for Hepatitis B Vaccinationin Non-and Low-Responder Populations", Grob, P. J. et al., Eur. J. Clin. *Microbiol.*, Jun. 1984, vol. 3, No. 3, pp. 195-198.

pp. 195-198. "Protection of Calves Against Rhinovirus Infection by Nasal Secretion Interferon Induced by Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis Virus", American Journal of Veterinary Research, Cummins, J. M. and Rosenquist, B. D., Feb., 1980, vol. 41, No. 2, pp. 161-165.

"Bovine Respiratory Disease-A Symposium", R. W. Loan, ed., texas A&M University Press, College Sta-

tion, TX, 1984, pp. 484-485.

"Activity of Exogenous Interferon In the Human Nasal Mucosa", Texas Reports on Biology and Medicine, vol. 35, 1977, Greenberg, S. B., Harmon, M. W. and Johnson, P. E., pp. 491-496.

"Inhibition of Respiratory Virus Infection by Locally Applied Interferon", Merigan, T. C., Hall, T. S., Reed, S. E., and Tyrrell, D. A., *The Lancet*, Mar. 17, 1973, pp. 563-567.

"Trials of Interferon in Respiratory Infections of Man", Tyrrell, D.A.J., Texas Reports on Biology and Medicine, vol. 35, 1977, pp. 486-490.

"Bacteria-Derived Human Leukocyte Interferons After in Vitro Humoral and Cellular Immune Responses", Cellular Immunology, 82, 1983, pp. 269-281, by Shalaby, M. R. and Weck, P. K.

"Clinical and Laboratory Investigations on Man: Systemic Administration of Potent Interferon to Man", J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 51: 733-742, 1973, by Strander, H., Cantell, K., Carlstrom, G. and Jakobsson, P. A.

"Application of Human Leukocyte Interferon in Severe Cases of Virus B Hepatitis", Vlatkovic, R., et al., Proc. Symposium on Interferon 1979, Yugoslav Academy of Sciences and Arts, Zagreb, pp. 173-183.

"Effect of Interferon on Vaccination in Volunteers", The Lancet, Apr. 28, 1962, pp. 873-875 (Report of Medical Research Council from the Scientific Committee on Interferon).

"Induction of Ocular Resistance to Vaccinia Virus by Typhoid Vaccine: Role of Interferon", Oh, J. O. and 'Yoneda, C., The *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 102, No. 1, 1969, pp. 145-154.

"Clinical Trials with Exogenous Interferon: Summary of a Meeting", *The Journal of Infections Diseases*, vol. 139, No. 1, Jan. 1979, pp. 109-123.

"Some Results and Prospects in the Study of Endogenous and Exogenous Interferon", The Interferson, An International Symposium, Soloviev, V. D., Geo. Rita, ed., Academic Press, 1968, pp. 233-243.

"Influenza and Interferon Research in the Soviet Union-Jan. 1973", The Journal of Infectious Diseases, vol.

128, No. 2, Aug. 1973, pp. 261-264.

"The Results of Controlled Observations on the Prophylaxis of Influenza with Interferon", Solov'ev, V. D., World Health Organization, 1949, 41, 683-688.

"Children's Respiratory Viral Diseases Treated With Interferon Aerosol", Jia-Xiong, D. et al., Chinese Medical Journal, 100(2): 162-166, 1987.

Essential Clinical Virology, R. G. Sommerville, Blackwell Scientific Publications, pp. 154-157.

"Comparative Intranasal Pharmacokinetics of Interferon Using Two Spray Systems", Davies, H. W. et al., J. Interferon Research, 1983, pp. 443-449.

"The Common Cold Control?", Couch, R. B., The Journal of Infectitious Diseases, vol. 150, No. 2, Aug. 1984, pp. 167-173.

Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases, 2nd. ed., Mandell, G. L., Douglas, R. G. Jr., Bennett, J. E. eds., A Wiley Medical Publication, pp. 85-96, 863, 968.

Antiviral Agents and Viral Diseases of Man, Edited by G. J. Galasso, T. C. Merigan, R. A. Buchanan, Raven Press, New York, 1979, pp. 407-408, A30-431.

Antiviral Agents and Viral Diseases of Man Edited by G. J. Galasso, T. C. Merigan, R. A. Buchanan, Raven Press, New York, 1984, pp. 145-178, 344-345.

"Interferon Perspective", Information on Interferon Provided in 1981 by the International Preventative Medicine Foundation, Melbourne FL, Ronald Jones, Vice President.

American Interhealth, Melbourne Beach, Florida, Production Information.

Biovet International, Inc., Canine and Feline Interferons, 1981 Product Description and Label.

"Agriferon (R-C", Immuno Modulators Laboratories, Inc., Stafford, Texas, Lymphokine Preparation for Prophylactic Treatment of Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis Virus Associated With Shipping Fever-for Cattle Use in Texas Only, Product Brochure.

"Agriferon ®-C", A Bold New Approach to Managing Shipping Fever in Cattle, Immuno Modulators Laboratories, Inc., Stafford, Texas, Product Advertisement. "Equiferon", A totally New Approach to Viral Respiratory Infection in Horses, Immuno Modulator Laboratories, Inc., Stafford, Texas, 1985, Product Advertisement.

"Pet Interferon Alpha", Amarillo Cell Culture Company, Inc., Amarillo, Texas, Lymphokine Preparation For Treatment of Feline Leukemia Virus and Canine Parvovirus Diseases, Product Brochure.

Texas Department of Healt Application for License, Amarillo Cell Culture Company, Inc., for Human Interferon Alpha, (Alpha Interferon) as Pet Interferon, May 6, 1985.

## TREATMENT OF IMMUNO-RESISTANT DISEASE WITH LOW-DOSE INTERFERON

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates generally to an improved method of treating diseases of immuno-pathologic etiology in warm-blooded vertebrates using interferon in low oral dosages. This invention also relates to the use of interferon in low oral dosages to potentiate disease-corrective immune responses in warm-blooded vertebrates afflicted with immuno-resistant diseases characterized by apparent hyperactive or hypoactive immune system function.

"Interferon" is a term generically comprehending a 15 group of vertebrate glycoproteins and proteins which are known to have various biological activities, such as antiviral, antiproliferative, and immunomodulatory activity at least in the species of animal from which such substances are derived. The following definition for 20 interferon has been accepted by an international committee assembled to devise a system for the orderly nomenclature of interferons: "To qualify as an interferon a factor must be a protein which exerts virus nonspecific, antiviral activity at least in homologous 25 cells through cellular metabolic processes involving synthesis of both RNA and protein." Journal of Interferon Research, 1, pp. vi (1980). "Interferon" as used herein in describing the present invention shall be deemed to have that definition.

Since the first descriptions of interferon by Isaacs and Lindeman [See, Proc. Roy Soc. London (Ser. B), Vol. 147, pp. 258 et seq. (1957) and U.S. Pat. No. 3,699,222], interferon has been the subject of intensive research on a worldwide basis. The literature is replete with publi- 35 cations concerning the synthesis of interferon, its proposed molecular characterizations, its clinical applications and proposed mechanisms of its antitumor, antiviral, and immune system activities.

Because of the intensity and disparate origins of research concerning interferon and its characteristics and uses, there exists a substantial lack of uniformity in such matters as classification of interferon types. There are also numerous, sometimes contradictory, theories concerning the mode of action of interferon in producing 45 clinical effects.

Although originally isolated from cells of avian origin (chick allantoic cells), interferon production has been observed in cells of all classes of vertebrates, including mammals, amphibians, birds and reptiles. Interferon production by vertebrate cells is seldom spontaneous but is often readily "induced" by treatment of cells (in vivo or in vitro) with a variety of substances including viruses, nucleic acids (including those of viral origin as well as synthetic polynucleotides), lipopolysaccha-55 rides, and various antigens and mitogens.

Interferons have generally been named in terms of the species of animal cells producing the substance (e.g., human, murine, or bovine), the type of cell involved (e.g., leukocyte, lymphoblastoid, fibroblast) and, occasionally, the type of inducing material responsible for interferon production (e.g., virus, immune). Interferon has been loosely classified by some researchers according to induction mode as either Type I or Type II, with the former classification comprehending viral and nucleic acid induced interferon and the latter class including the material produced as a lymphokine through induction by antigens and mitogens. More recently, the

international committee devising an orderly nomenclature system for interferon has classified interferon into types on the basis of antigenic specificities. In this newer classification, the designations alpha (α), beta (β), and gamma (γ) have been used to correspond to previous designations of leukocyte, fibroblast, and type II (immune) interferons, respectively. Alpha and beta interferons are usually acid-stable and correspond to what have been called type I interferons; gamma interferons are usually acid-stable and correspond to what has been called type II interferons. The international committee's nomenclature recommendations apply only to human and murine interferons. Journal of Interferon Research, I pp. vi (1980).

In its earliest applications, interferon was employed exclusively as an antiviral agent and the most successful clinical therapeutic applications to date have been in the treatment of viral or virus-related disease states. It became apparent, however, that exogenous interferon was sometimes capable of effecting regression or remission of various metastatic diseases. An overview of current clinical trials of interferon as an antiviral and antiproliferative therapeutic agent is contained in *Interferon: In Vivo and Clinical Studies*, Volume 4, Eds: N. B. Finter and R. K. Oldham, Academic Press, New York, 1985.

The clinical agent of choice for the present is human leukocyte interferon, "mass-produced" by procedures involving collection and purification of vast quantities of human buffy coat leukocytes, induction with virus, and isolation from culture media.

In the work described above, interferon has been administered parenterally, i.e., intramuscularly and intradermally, with some successful topical and intranasal usages having been reported. It has seldom been administered intravenously because of substantial adverse effects attributable to "contaminants" in crude and even highly purified isolates.

As discussed above, there has been a significant research effort directed to the evaluation of therapeutic effects of interferon for a wide variety of diseases having an auto-immuno-pathologic basis. Before applicant's first report of successful oral administration of interferon in his U.S. Pat. application Ser. No. 415,525 (now U.S. Pat. No. 4,462,985), there was no recognition in the art of the potential offered by oral administration of interferon. The generally held belief was that interferon could not survive the digestive conditions of the upper alimentary canal.

Since applicant's first disclosure of the immunotherapeutic benefit achievable via oral administration of interferon of heterologous mammalian species, he has continued to investigate the efficacy of orally administered interferon. In U.S. Pat. No. 4,497,795, issued Fab 5, 1985, applicant described and claimed the use of interferon administered orally or via intravenous administration to stimulate appetite and feed efficiency of bovine and porcine species. More recently applicant has described in now pending U.S. applications the use of interferon at dosages less than about 5 IU per pound of body weight for increasing feed efficiency and food utilization in warm-blooded vertebrates, for preventing and treating shipping fever, and for enhancing vaccine efficiency.

Human alpha-interferon has been marketed under the trademark Agriferon (R) by Immunomodulator Laboratories, Inc. ("IML") of Stafford, TX for veterinary use in Texas since February 1985. The product is sold for

3

oral administration to cattle to promote growth and feed efficiency and to prevent or treat viral respiratory infections. IML began selling an alpha-interferon product for horses in 1986. Both products are sold under a license of my U.S. Pat. 4,462,985.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Interferon contacting the oral and/or pharyngeal mucosa, in amounts of less than 5 IU/lb of body weight per day is consistently effective to potentiate disease- 10 corrective immune responses in vertebrates afflicted with immuno-resistant disease states characterized by apparent hyperactive or hypoactive immune system function. Treatment in accordance with the present invention has been shown to effect remission of neoplas- 15 in amounts from about 0.01 to about 5 IU/lb of body tic disease, hyperallergenicity, immuno-resistant or immuno-debilitating viral infections and autoimmune disorders characterized by chronic tissue degenerative inflammation.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The clinical agent of choice for use in the present invention is human leukocyte interferon (human alphainterferon), "mass-produced" by procedures involving 25 in accordance with the present invention comprises collection and purification of quantities of human buffy coat leukocytes, induction of interferon production with virus, and isolation of culture media. (See "Preparation of Human Alpha-Interferon" below.) Also acceptable for use in accordance with present intention 30 age of interferon is from 0.1 to about 4.0 IU/lb per day, are human alpha-interferon products produced by recombinant DNA technology and now commercially available from Schering-Plough (as Intron®) and Hoffmann-LaRoche (as Roferon (R)) and approved by the FDA for treatment (parenterally) of hairy cell leu- 35 kemia of man. Such recombinant interferon products are believed to be particularly effective when used in combination. Gamma interferon is also available by recombinant technology and is presently undergoing clinical trials by Genentech and others. Fibroblast inter- 40 feron (beta-interferon) can be prepared in accordance with Example 1 in applicant's U.S. Pat. No. 4,462,985 issued July 31, 1984, the disclosure of which is hereby expressly incorporated by reference.

Interferon of human and murine origins has been 45 quantified in the art in terms of International Units ("IU"). As used herein, a "unit" of interferon (to be distinguished from "IU") shall mean the reciprocal of a dilution of interferon-containing material that, as determined by assay, inhibits one-half the number of plaques 50 method administering immunotherapeutic amounts of of a challenge virus, the challenge virus being the vesicular stomatitis virus ("VSV"). So quantified a "unit" of interferon is routinely found to be about one-tenth the quantity of interferon represented by one "IU." In other words, for the purpose of defining the present inven- 55 tion, 1 unit  $\approx$ 0.1 IU.

The present invention relates to an improved method of treatment of immuno-resistant disease states with interferon. The present invention is directed to the treatment of diseases in warm-blooded vertebrates, par- 60 cially those of known or suspected viral etiology. Based ticularly certain diseases which the immune system of many species is poorly equipped to handle, as evidenced by either a lack of disease defeating response and/or an apparently misdirected immune response resulting in a chronic tissue degenerative inflammatory condition or 65 other physical complications. While there has been a significant research effort directed to the use of interferon for treatment of such diseases, reported results,

although positive overall, have been inconsistent. The principle reason for such inconsistency in view of my most recent research efforts is that earlier investigators have failed to define optimum dosage and route of inter-5 feron administration.

The present invention is based on applicant's discovery that interferon can be used as a consistently effective therapeutic agent for treatment of diseases having an immunopathologic basis—characterized by inadequate immune response and persistence of the disease or by an apparent hyperactive immune response resulting in tissue degenerative inflammatory conditions and related physical manifestations. Applicant has found that interferon, contacting the oral and pharyngeal mucosa weight per day, is consistently efficacious for the treatment of diseases to which the immune system of many warm-blooded vertebrates does not effectively respond.

Disease conditions treated in accordance with the 20 present invention include apparent autoimmune disorders characterized by a chronic tissue degenerative inflammatory condition. Diseases so characterized include multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, stomatitis, and lupus erythematosus. Treatment of such disease is administering interferon at a dosage of 0.01 to about 5 IU/lb per day in a dosage form adapted to promote contact of said dosage of interferon with the oral and pharyngeal mucosa of said animal. Preferably, the dosmore preferably 0.5 to about 1.5 IU/lb of body weight per day. Alpha interferon, derived from tissue culture or by recombinant DNA techniques, is a preferred therapeutic agent in accordance with this invention. Alpha interferon can be administered alone or in combination with beta interferon or gamma interferon.

It is critical that the interferon be administered in a dosage form adapted to assure maximum contact of the interferon in said dosage form with the oral and pharyngeal mucosa of the human or animal undergoing treatment. Contact of interferon with the mucosa can be enhanced by maximizing residence time of the treatment solution in the oral or pharyngeal cavity. Thus, best results seem to be achieved in human patients when the patient is requested to hold said solution of interferon in the mouth for a period of time. Contact of interferon with the oral and pharyngeal mucosa and thereafter with the lymphatic system of the treated human or animal is unquestionably the most efficient interferon.

Another disease condition responding to treatment in accordance with the present invention is neoplastic disease. Thus, the administration of interferon in accordance with the above description can, alone or in combination with other drugs or therapy, help effect remission of cancers such as malignant lymphoma, melanoma, mesothelioma, Burkitt lymphoma and nasopharyngeal carcinoma and other neoplastic diseases, espeon the results observed to date, it is believed that applicant's presently described method of treatment will similarly help effect remission of Hodgkin's Disease and

Other disease conditions responding to treatment in accordance with the present invention are infectious diseases of viral origin in, for example, human, avian, porcine, canine and feline species. Significantly, viral

infection typically exhibiting persistent resistance to treatment have shown a dramatic response to treatment with interferon in low doses contacting the oral and pharyngeal mucosa of infected patients. Beneficial results have been attained utilizing the present method to 5 treat dogs having canine parvovirus and canine herpesvirus infections. Further, feline leukemia and feline infectious peritonitis have been shown to be particularly susceptible to treatment with alpha interferon and beta interferon in accordance with this invention.

Exemplary of human viral infections showing remarkable response to treatment in accordance with the present invention are infections of human rhinovirus (common cold), herpes simplex I virus (cold sores) and human papovavirus (warts). Based on treatment results 15 to date, it is expected that contact of interferon at low dosage with the oral and pharyngeal mucosa will provide an effective treatment for Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) and disease conditions having the herpes simplex II virus as the causative agent. A 20 patient experiencing a condition of viral myocarditis has responded favorably to the present treatment. Warts often dissipate within six to eight weeks after initiating treatment in accordance with this invention.

Other afflictions responding to contact of low dosage 25 interferon are hyperallergenic conditions such as asthma. One "side effect" noted by patients treated in accordance with this invention is improved skin complexion. Thus, administration of interferon in dosages of about 0.01 to about 5 IU/ib of body weight per day is 30 effective to treat acne, specifically and improve human skin complexion generally.

Further, stimulating the immune system by oral contact with low dosage interferon is believed to assist the body in fighting bacterial infection. Treatment in 35 accordance with this invention alone or in combination with therapeutic amounts of antibiotics can be especially effective in knocking down infections of antibiotic resistant microorganisms.

Administration of interferon in accordance with the 40 present invention is preferably continued until the symptoms of the disease condition being treated subside. This can range from a period of one day, for example, where a human rhinovirus is the disease causative agent, to a period of up to six months for treatment of 45 neoplastic disease. Rheumatoid arthritis patients are pain free within 2 to 10 days of initiating treatment in accordance with the present invention. However, treatment of that disease is preferably conducted by administration of interferon for up to about three (3) months. 50

Daily dosage of interferon can be administered as a single dosage or, preferably, it is divided and administered in a multiple-dose daily regimen. A staggered regimen, for example one to three days treatment per week or month, can be used as an alternative to continuous daily treatment.

Interferon can be administered in accordance with this invention in either a liquid (solution) or solid dosage form. Thus interferon can be administered dissolved in a buffered aqueous solution typically containing a stabilizing amount (1-5% by weight) of blood serums. Exemplary of a buffered solution suitable as a carrier of interferon administered in accordance with this invention is phosphate buffered saline prepared as follows:

A concentrated (20x) solution of phosphate buffered 65 saline (PBS) was prepared by dissolving the following reagents in sufficient water to make 1,000 ml of solution: sodium chloride, 160 grams; potassium chloride, 4.0

grams; sodium hydrogen phosphate, 23 grams; potassium dihydrogen phosphate, 4.0 grams; and optionally phenol red powder, 0.4 grams. The solution is sterilized by autoclaving at 15 pounds pressure for 15 minutes and then diluted with additional water to a single strength concentration prior to use.

Alternatively the interferon can be formulated into flavored or unflavored solutions or syrups using a buffered aqueous solution of interferon as a base with added caloric or non-caloric sweeteners, flavor oils and pharmaceutically acceptable surfactant/dispersants.

It is also contemplated by the present invention to provide interferon in a solid dosage form such as a lozenges adapted to be dissolved upon contact with saliva in the mouth with or without the assistance of chewing. Such a unitary dosage form is formulated to release about 1 to about 1500 IU of interferon upon dissolution in the mouth for contact with the oral and pharyngeal mucosa. Thus a unitary dosage form of interferon in accordance with this invention can be prepared by art-recognized techniques for forming compressed tablets such as chewable vitamins. Similarly, interferon can be incorporated into starch-based gel formulations to form a lozenge which will dissolve and release interferon for contact with the oral mucosa when held in the mouth. Solid unitary dosage forms of interferon for use in accordance with the present invention can be prepared utilizing art recognized dosage formulation techniques. The pH of such formulations can range from about 4 to about 8.5. Of course, in processing to such unitary dosage forms one should avoid heating a pre-dosage form formulation, after addition of interferon, above about 50° Centigrade.

#### Preparation of Human Aloha-Interferon

Human alpha-interferon can be prepared through the following procedure, commonly referred to as the Cantell procedure. The process begins with packs of human leukocytes, obtained in this case from the Gulf Coast Regional Blood Center, Houston, Texas. The buffy coats in these packs are pooled into centrifuge bottles. and then are diluted with 0.83% ammonium chloride. The mixture is incubated for 15 minutes with intermittent shaking, and is then centrifuged for 20 minutes at 2000 rpm. The supernatant is discarded, and the cell pellets are resuspended with a minimal volume of sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The mixture is then diluted with ammonium chloride and centrifuged. The 50 supernatant is again discarded, and the remaining cell pellets are resuspended with a minimal volume of a tissue culture medium such as Minimal Essential medium (MEM), available from KC Biological. The cell concentration is determined with a Coulter counter.

Interferon induction takes place in glass or plastic bottles. The induction medium contains MEM 75 mM Hepes (available from Calbiochem), 75 mM Tricine (available from Sigma Chemical Co.), human agamma serum (18 mg/ml), and gentamycin sulfate (from M.A. Bioproducts; 50 mcg/ml). The cells are added to the induction vessels at a final concentration of about 5 to 10 million cells per milliliter. The induction vessel is incubated in a 37° C. water bath, and interferon alpha is added as a primer.

After two hours, Sendai virus is added to the induction mixture. This causes alpha interferon to be produced in the supernatant by the leukocytes. After a 12-18 hour incubation time, the induction mixture is

centrifuged. The cells are discarded, and the supernatant is then purified.

The crude interferon is chilled to 10° C. or below in an ice bath. Five molar potassium thiocyanate is added to obtain a final concentration of 0.5M. This solution is 5 stirred for 15 minutes, and then its pH is lowered to 3.3 by adding hydrochloric acid. The mixture is then centrifuged at 2800 rpm for 30 minutes, and the supernatant is discarded.

The pellets are then resuspended in 95% ethanol and 10 are stirred for 15 minutes. This suspension is centrifuged at 2800 rpm for 20 minutes, and the pellets are discarded. The pH of the supernatant is then adjusted to 5.8 with sodium hydroxide. The mixture is stirred for 10 minutes, and then centrifuged at 2800 rpm for 20 minutes. The pellets are discarded. The pH of the supernatant is then adjusted to 8 with sodium hydroxide. This solution is stirred for 10 minutes, followed by centrifugation at 2800 rpm for 20 minutes. The supernatant is discarded, and the pellets are resuspended with 0.5M 20 potassium thiocyanate in a 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer. This suspension is stirred at 4° C.

Next, the suspension is centrifuged at 2800 rpm for 20 minutes, and the pellets are discarded. The pH of the supernatant is adjusted to 5.3 with hydrochloric acid. 25 After stirring for 10 minutes and centrifugation, the pH of the supernatant is adjusted to 2.8 with hydrochloric acid, followed by further stirring for 20 minutes. This mixture is centrifuged at 2800 rpm, and the resulting pellet is purified human alpha-interferon.

The pellet is resuspended with 0.5M potassium thiocyanate in 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer, having a pH of 8.0. It is then dialyzed against PBS at 4° C., with two changes of PBS. This mixture is then centrifuged and the precipitate is discarded. The remaining purified 35 alpha interferon is sterilized by filtration through a 0.2 micron filter. A human alpha-interferon is produced in accordance with this procedure by Immuno Modulators Laboratories, Inc., Stafford, TX, and sold under the trademark Agriferon ® for use in cattle and Equife-40 ron ® for use in horses.

Other procedures known to those skilled in the art are available for making interferons, such as human alphainterferon and human gamma-interferon. For example, U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,376,821 and 4,460,685 disclose methods 45 of making human gamma-interferon. A method of making bovine fibroblast (beta) interferon is disclosed in applicant's U.S. Pat. No. 4,462,985.

#### Clinical Studies

Tables 1-4 below summarize the results of clinical studies of the administration of interferon by veterinarians orally to 137 dogs and cats as of Nov., 1985. The studies were conducted with both human alpha-interferon and bovine beta-interferon. Tables 1-4 compare 55 survival rates of pets with feline leukemia virus-associated diseases or canine parvovirus disease. Unequal numbers of pets were treated with each type of interferon; bovine beta-interferon was given to 78 pets and human alpha-interferon was given to 59 pets.

Bovine beta-interferon was produced in flasks of confluent monolayers of bovine fetal kidney (BFK) cells. Culture supernatant was harvested 24 hours after bluetongue virus induction of BFK cells. The supernatant was dialyzed 24 hours in a pH 2.0 buffer and for 65 another 24 hours in a PBS (pH 7.4) buffer before interferon assay. Procedures for the assay and characterization of bovine beta-interferon were essentially as de-

scribed by Rosenquist and Loan, American Journal of Veterinary Research, 28; 619-628, 1967. Interferon titers as "units" were expressed as the reciprocals of the dilutions that provided a 50% reduction in the number of VSV plaques as compared with the number in control cultures. The BFK cell culture interferon produced by this method had an average titer of 7,000 units per milliliter. Dogs were given bovine beta-interferon, 5-10 ml/dose, as least three times/day after a diagnosis of CPV disease. Cats positive by ELISA for feline leukemia virus and exhibiting clinical signs of disease were given 1 ml/10 lb of body weight 2-3 times daily for five days. After a five-day interval, cats were retreated at least once for another five days.

Human alpha interferon was obtained from IML, Inc. of Houston, TX. Cases were treated with lot AO26 applied at  $6 \times 10^6$  IU/ml. Lot AO26 of human alpha interferon was diluted 1:150 in Eagles' minimum essential medium (MEM) and used as the stock solution from which 1 ml was further diluted 1:1000 with 1 liter of MEM for treatment. The usual dose of human alpha interferon was 4 IU/lb body weight given at least three times daily after a diagnosis of CPV disease was made. For feline leukemia, cats were treated with human alpha-interferon 2-3 times daily for five days as reported for bovine beta-interferon.

Significantly (P < 0.05) more cats lived six and twelve months after diagnosis and treatment for feline leukemia virus if alpha-interferon was given, compared to treatment with bovine beta-interferon. Significantly (P < 0.05) more dogs survived CPV disease when given alpha interferon (92%) compared to those dogs given bovine beta-interferon (69%).

TABLE 1

Summary of Survival Date from clinically ill copositive for FeLV.						
	Months After Treatment					
Treatment	ì	6	12			
Human alpha-IFN	25/33	21/32*	19/31			
Bovine IFN	26/36	15/36	13/36			

Numerator = no. alive; denominator = no. treated.

\*Cats given human alpha-IFN had significantly (P < .05) higher survival rates at 6 and 12 months after treatment than cats given bovine IFN. Significance was determined by Chi Square test.

TABLE 2

	<b>-</b>				
Percent survival of clinically ill cats positive for FeLV.					
Mo	onths After Trea	ıtment			
1	6	12			
76%	66%*	61%*			
72%	42%	36%			
< 50%	< 30%	_			
	al of clinical FeLV Mo 1 76% 72%	FeLV.  Months After Tres  1 6  76% 66%* 72% 42%			

Numerator = no. alive; denominator = no. treated.

50

\*Cats given human alpha-IFN had significantly (P < .05) higher survival rates at 6 and 12 months after treatment than cats given bovine IFN. Significance was determined by Chi Square test.

TABLE 3

	on or human alpha-interfer  Bovine IFN Beta				
Veterinarian	Lived	Died	Lived	Died	
S	16/21	5/21	14/16	2/16	
М	6/11	5/11	7/7	0/7	
R	7/10	3/10	3/3	0/3	

TABLE 3-continued

Response of CPV disease to treatment with bovine interferon or human alpha-interferon, by veterinarian.					
Attending		FN Beta	Human Alpha IFN		
Veterinarian	Lived	Died	Lived	Died	
	29/42(69%)	13/42(31%)	24/26(92%)	2/26(8%)	

Dogs treated with human alpha-interferon had a significantly (P < .05) higher survival rate compared to dogs treated with bovine IFN. Significance bet groups was determined by Chi Square test.

TABLE 4

	disease.				
	No. of Average No. Treatmen		. Treatment	t Survival	
Treatment	Days	Days*	SD**	Rate	
Bovine IFN	42	3.31	1.95	69%	
Human alpha IFN	26	2.75	0.92	92%	

Calculated on surviving dogs only.

#### Canine Heroesvirus Challenge of Newborn Dogs

Canine herpesvirus infection of dogs less than one week of age are invariably fatal, but older pups usually survive. Interferon has been successfully used to treat viral infections of many species. These studies were 25 conducted to assess the efficacy of interferon in canine herpesvirus (CHV) inoculated puppies.

Five (5) pregnant bitches were obtained from a USDA licensed supplier and were housed in a USDA approved research facility in Canyon, Texas. After the 30 either pup but both HAI (1X) treated pups survived. pups whelped, they were inoculated with 6.3 log 10 units of virulent CHV obtained from Dr. Richard Mock of the Texas A&M University Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Laboratory (TVMDL) in Amarillo, Texas. Human alpha-interferon (HAI) or placebo was given to 35 pups orally as treatment in an effort to increase the survival rate of the CHV inoculated pups. Each litter was divided into control and treated animals. The procedures and schedule for each litter are discussed below. All dead animals were necropsied at TVMDL, 40 Amarillo, TX.

#### LITTER 1

Nine (9) pups were inoculated orally with CHV on the day of birth. Interferon was given at 6-10 units (1X), or ten times the dosage at 60-100 units (10X). Three 45 pups were given 0.5 ml placebo, 3 pups were given 0.5 ml HAI (1X), and 3 pups were given 0.5 ml of a 10X concentrate of HAI orally twice daily for 7 days (if they lived that long). The 3 controls died 5, 6, and 8 days after CHV inoculation. The 3 pups given HAI (1X) 50 lived 7, 7, and 9 days and the 3 pups given 10X HAI lived 6, 7, and 7 days after CHV inoculation.

Pups given HAI (1X) lived an average of 1.3 days longer than controls, but the longer survival time was not statistically significant. The higher dosage, HAI 55 (10X), did not provide a survival benefit over the lower dosage, but instead pups given the higher dosage died, on the average, one day sooner.

#### LITTER 2

Eight (8) pups were inoculated with CHV orally 2 60 days after birth. Interferon was given at 6-10 units (1X), or ten times the dosage (10X), or 1/10th the dosage (1/10X). All interferon was given orally after dilution in PBS. Two (2) pups were given 0.5 ml PBS, 2 were given 0.5 ml HAI at 1/10th concentration, 2 were given 65 HAI (1X), and 2 were given a 10X concentrate of HAI. All treatments were given orally twice daily for 5 days starting I day before CHV inoculation. The 2 controls

died < 1 and 9 days after CHV inoculation and the HAI treated (1/10th dose, full dose, 10X dose) pups died 8 and 9, 5 and 9, 8 and 8 days after CHV inoculation, respectively.

No benefit from treatment at any dosage was seen. The death of a control pup within a day after CHV inoculation was probably not related to CHV inoculation.

#### LITTER 3

10 Nine (9) pups were inoculated with CHV orally 3 days after birth. Two pups were given 0.5 ml-PBS, 2 were given 0.5 ml HAI (1X), 2 were given 1/10th dose HAI, and 3 were given 2 IU of recombinant human 15' alpha-interferon from Schering-Plough. All treatments were given orally twice daily for 5 days starting two days before CHV inoculation. Both pups given HAI (1X) survived until necropsied 19 days after CHV inoculation. One control pup died 14 days after CHV inoculation and 1 survived until necropsied 19 days after CHV inoculation. Pups given 1/10th dosage of HAI died 8 and 13 days after CHV inoculation. Only one pup given recombinant human alpha-interferon died (12 days after CHV); the other 2 pups survived until necropsied 19 days after CHV inoculation.

These pups, inoculated 3 days after birth, did not develop an overwhelming CHV infection (only 1 of 2 controls died). A 1/10th dose of HAI did not protect

#### LITTER 4

Fourteen (14) pups were inoculated orally with CHV 2 days after birth. Seven (7) pups were given PBS and 7 pups were given HAI (1X) orally twice daily for 7 days (if they lived that long) starting 2 days after CHV inoculation. The 7 controls died 1, 5, 7, 8, 8, 9, and 9 days after CHV inoculation. One of the HAI (1X) treated pups survived and the other 6 pups died 1, 6, 8, 9, 9, and 12 days after CHV inoculation. The deaths of 2 pups only 1 day after CHV inoculation were probably not related to CHV inoculation.

One HAI (IX) treated pup lived 3 days beyond the last surviving control and one HAI (1X) treated pup lived 2 weeks (until necropsied) beyond any treated control pup. Average survival time of interferon treated pups was longer than control survival time, but not significantly so.

#### LITTER 5

Six (6) pups were inoculated orally with CHV 2 days after birth. Three (3) pups were given PBS and 3 were given HAI (1X) orally once daily starting 5 days after CHV inoculation. The 3 controls died 6, 6, and 7 days after CHV inoculation. One of the HAI (1X) treated pups survived (until necropsied) and the others died 8 and 9 days after CHV inoculation.

All interferon treated pups lived longer than any of the control pups. Treatment with HAI (1X) did not begin until 5 days after CHV inoculation, yet survival was significantly (P < 0.05) prolonged.

In summary, on the average, puppies treated with human alpha-interferon had longer survival times and enhanced survival rates compared to littermate controls, after canine herpesvirus challenge. A total of 7 puppies (1 control and 6 interferon treated) survived the normally fatal CHV inoculation. The data is summarized in the Table 5 below.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Standard deviation of the mean treatment days.

		Summary of Canine H	erpesvirus Data	_	
Litter	No. of Pups	Dosage	Average* Survival Time (Days)	Survivors	5
1	3	control	6.33	0	•
1	3	HAI IX	7.67	0	
i	3	HAI 10X	6.67	0	
2	2	control	4.5**	0	
2	2	HAI 1/10th	8.5	0	10
2	2	HAI 1X	7.0	0	10
2	2	HAI 10X	8:0	0	
3	2	control	14.0	1	
3	2	HAI 1/10th	10.5	0	
3	2	HAI IX		2 -	
´3	3	recombinant IFN	12.0	2	
4	7	control	6.7	Ō	15
4	7	HAI IX	7.5	1	
5	3	control	6.3	Ō	
5	3	HALIX	8.5	i	

<sup>\*</sup>dead dogs survival time; living pupples not calculated.

#### Treatment Of Nasal Solar Dermatitis

Three cases of nasal solar dermatitis (collie nose) cleared after human alpha-interferon treatment of 1 25 unit/lb body weight orally and topical treatment (a few ml at 20 units/ml).

#### Treatment of Canine Lupous Erythematosus

Two cases diagnosed as canine lupus erythematosus 30 were cured by human alpha-interferon treatment. A 2 year-old Lhasa apso male had been treated with prednisolone for 1 year for 3 dermatological lesions on the abdomen and prepuce. The flat glistening lesions were continually licked by the dog. Within 1 week of oral 35 have remained asymptomatic. human alpha-interferon treatment (1 unit/lb body weight daily for 5 days, then after I week, treatment was repeated for 5 days) 2 lesions completely healed and the third lesion was reduced to ½ its original size. Within 4 weeks, the lesions were all completely healed 40 and all therapy ceased. One year later, a skin lesion reappeared but promptly healed after interferon treatment was repeated. The skin lesions have not reappeared in the past 10 months.

A 6 year-old spayed female chihuahua cross had a 45 her neurologic symptoms for the past nine months. spider shaped (4 cm by 2 cm approximately) skin lesion on the abdomen. The lesion was flat, glistening and pruritic. Six weeks of prednisolone treatment resulted in complete healing. The following summer, the lesion reappeared and was treated with oral human alpha- 50 interferon at about 1 unit/lb body weight daily for5 days. Within 5 days the lesion was reduced to 1 its original size and completely disappeared within 10 days. The lesion has not reappeared in the past year.

#### Treatment Of Feline Infectious Peritonitis

Table 6 shows the results of 17 cases of feline infections peritonitis (FIP) as diagnosed by practicing veterinarians. Human alpha-interferon (IFN) treatment rement with bovine beta-IFN.

TABLE 6

d as FIP
Survival ad Rate
. 91%
50%
3

TABLE 6-continued

<del></del>	val of clinically No. Cats Treated			Surviva
Treatment		Alive	Dead	Rate
beta-IFN				***
Total	17	13	4	76%

Cats given human alpha-IFN had a significantly (P = .0574) greater survival rate than cats given bovine beta-IFN.

#### Human Treatment With Exogenous

#### Human Lapha-Interferon

Human patients were treated with human alpha-inter-15 feron in the therapy of acute rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, astham, acne, malignant lymphoma, mesothelioma, and apthous stomatitis. Therapy consisted of oral administration of 0.7 IU per lb. of patient body weight twice daily, once in the morning and once in the evening. None of the patients noted any fever or anorexia associated with the administration of alpha interferon. Interferon was administered in a buffered solution having a concentration such that a single dosage could be administered in a volume of about 1 to about 20 ml of liquid. Each patient generally retained the interferon solution in his mouth for a period of time up to about one minute. After that time the solution was either swallowed or discharged from the patient's

Two patients suffering from rheumatoid arthritis were treated—a Caucasian male age 44 and a Caucasian female age 44. The male patient was pain free in 7 days, and the female was pain free in 10 days. They were both continued on the oral interferon for 21 days total and

It has been found that recurrence of a treated arthritic condition can be minimized if treatment in accordance with the present invention is continued over a period of up to about three months.

A 30-year-old Caucasian female nurse afflicted with multiple sclerosis and who had an extensive neurologic workup at City of Hope Hospital in Los Angeles received treatment in accordance with the present invention for 21 days. The patient has had no recurrence of

A 42-year-old Caucasian male diagnosed to have a malignant lymphoma had completed chemotherapy with dismal results and was considered terminal. He was treated for three weeks with oral interferon. Six months after starting treatment he was released by his oncologist as free of the disease.

An 82-year-old Caucasian female was diagnosed to have mesothelioma. Presently there is no effective treatment for that disease and only a 9-month average sur-55 vival rate is predicted. During her treatment with human alpha-interferon she had thoracentesis on two occasions for plural effusion. Otherwise, the patient has been active and has survived for 43 months.

A 32-year-old Asian male with apthous stomatitis was sulted in a significantly greater survival rate than treat- 60 treated for two weeks with human alpha-interferon in accordance with the present invention. There has been no recurrence of the ulcers over the last six months since treatment was completed.

> BKC is a 29 year-old Caucasian female and KKJ is a 65 20 year-old Caucasian female. Both are afflicted by acne-like skin blemishes at the time of their monthly menstrual cycle. Oral human alpha-interferon given at about I unit/lb of body weight for 3 days prior to the

<sup>\*</sup>includes one pup living only one day beyond CHV inoculation.

14

time of their cycle reduces the severity and number of skin blemishes.

# Treatment Of Warts In Humans With Bovine Aloha-Interferon

MAH, a 38 year-old Caucasian female, had 7 warts on the middle finger of her right hard. After 9 months duration, medical treatment was sought, and liquid nitrogen was applied by a dermatologist. Only one wart on the finger regressed after treatment. Three warts coalesced to create a large wart area that, over the next year, acquired a roughly 12 millimeter square shape. Oral bovine alpha interferon treatment was started at a dosage of 6 ml daily for 6 consecutive days. The concentration of alpha-interferon was 30 units/ml; it was derived from the nasal secretions of cattle infected with infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus. All the warts completely regressed within 6 weeks of the first dose of interferon.

#### Interferon Dosage Formulations

#### (1) Lozenge

A starch gel-based lozenge containing interferon is prepared by combining 150 grams of sucrose, 550 ml phosphate buffered saline, and 250 grams of a cold-25 water-soluble starch such as that described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,465,702, heating that mixture with stirring to a temperature of about 75° C., cooling the mixture to about 30° C. and thereafter blending into the paste-like mass 50 ml of phosphate buffered saline PBS containing human alpha interferon at a concentration of 250 IU/ml. The mixture is then formed into multiple portions of about 5 to about 10 grams each which set upon standing under drying conditions to a starch candy gel-like consistency. The lozenges thereby produced 35 can be administered to a patient singly or in combination. The patient is instructed to hold the lozenge in his mouth until it is completely dissolved to release the interferon component for contact with the oral mucosa.

#### (2) Chewable Vitamin

A chewable vitamin formulation is prepared, for example, according to the description of U.S. Pat. No. 3,857,939 by coating one or more components thereof prior to tableting with an interferon solution in an amount sufficient to provide about 1 to about 1500 units of interferon in each chewable vitamin tablet.

#### (3) Mouthwash

A mouthwash formulation is prepared in accordance with the present invention by combining 850 0 ml PBS, 100 ml of glycerin, 50 grams of dextrose, and a mixture of 0.3 ml of a flavor oil pre-mixed with 30 ml of a palatable, pharmaceutically acceptable surfactant/dispersant having an HLB from about 15 to about 25 and 50 ml of a PBS solution of interferon (concentration 120 IU/ml). The formulation contains interferon at a concentration of about 120 IU per 20 ml dosage. The patient is asked to hold a 20 ml volume of the mouthwash in his mouth, optionally gargling with the same, for a period of about 15 seconds to about one minute.

#### (4) Syrup

Interferon is added to a commercial cough syrup formulation in an amount sufficient to provide an interferon containing syrup formulation having about 1 to

about 1500 IU of human interferon per tablespoon of syrup.

#### (5) Effervescent Tablet

A tableting mixture comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable alkali metal carbonate or bicarbonate, an organic acid such as citric acid, human interferon (preferably dispersed on a suitable organic or inorganic carrier therefor) in an amount sufficient to provide a per tablet dose of about 1 to about 1500 units of interferon per dose, and further including suitable tableting excipients such as lubricants and binders, is compressed into a unitary dosage form of interferon. The compressed tablet effervesces upon contact with water to release interferon to the resulting buffered solution. The dosage of interferon is readily available in solution for contact with the oral pharyngeal mucosa of a patient in need of said dosage of interferon.

#### I claim:

- 1. An improved method of treatment of infectious disease of viral origin in human, canine and feline species said method consisting essentially of contacting about 0.01 to about 5 IU of interferon/lb of body weight per dose with the oral and pharyngeal mucosa of said species.
  - 2. The method of claim 1 wherein the dosage is about 0.1 to 4.0 IU/lb per day.
  - 3. The method of claim 1 wherein the interferon is selected from alpha-interferon and beta-interferon.
  - 4. The method of claim 1 wherein the interferon is alpha-interferon produced from human leukocytes.
  - 5. The method of claim 1 wherein human alpha-interferon is administered at dosage of about 0.5 to 1.5 IU/lb of body weight per day.
  - 6. The method of claim 5 wherein a human is treated for a viral infection selected from the group consisting of human rhinovirus, herpes simplex I virus, herpes simplex II virus, viral myocarditis and HILV III virus (AIDS).
  - 7. The method of claim 1 wherein a human is treated for warts.
  - 8. The method of claim 1 wherein a feline species is treated for feline leukemia virus or feline infectious peritonitis.
  - 9. The method of claim 3 wherein a canine species is treated for canine parvovirus.
  - 10. The method of claim 1 wherein canine species is treated for canine herpesvirus.
  - 11. The method of claim 1 wherein a human suffering from Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome is treated with human alpha-interferon or human beta-interferon.
  - 12. The method of claim 11 wherein about 0.5 to about 1.5 of interferon/lb of body weight in aqueous solution is held in the patient's mouth for a period of time sufficient to allow contact of the interferon with the oral mucosa of said patient.
  - 13. The method of claim 6 wherein the dosage of interferon is divided and administered as a multiple dose daily regimen.
  - 14. The method of claim 11 wherein beta-interferon is administered at a dosage of about 0.5 to about 1.5 IU/lb per day.

- L16 ANSWER 89 OF 101 CA COPYRIGHT 1995 ACS
- DUPLICATE 42

- AN 108:4454 CA
- TI Toxicity studies of human fibroblast interferon beta (I). Acute and subacute toxicity studies in mice and rats
- AU Shibutani, Yasunori; Obata, Masaomi; Hamada, Yoshimasa; Shichi, Shigeo; Ohi, Keiichi; Kaga, Nobuhiko; Yajima, Gompachi
- CS Toxicol. Lab., Mochida Pharm. Co., Ltd., Gotemba, 412, Japan
- SO Iyakuhin Kenkyu (1987), 18(4), 571-82 CODEN: IYKEDH
- DT Journal -
- LA Japanese
- AB In an acute toxicity study, i.v. or oral administration of 1 .times. 107 2.5 .times. 108 IU and i.m. administration of 1 .times. 107 5 .times. 107 IU of human interferon .beta. (MR 21)/kg caused no death, apparent symptoms, body wt. change or abnormal autopsy findings in mice and rats. I.v., i.m., and oral LD50 values of MR-21 in mice and rats were >2.5 .times. 108, >5 .times. 107, and >2.5 .times. 108 IU/kg, resp. In a subacute toxicity study, MR-21 administered i.v. to rats for 13 wk at 1 .times. 107 3 .times. 105 IU/kg/day caused no death or any symptoms attributable to the administration of MR-21. The no-effect dose level of MR-21 was estd. to be 3 .times. 105 IU/kg/day under the conditions of this study.

ΤI Antibodies to . \*\*\*alpha\*\*\* ·- \*\*\*interferon\*\*\* with systemic lupus erythematosus. in a patient Panem S.; Check I.J.; Henriksen D.; Vilcek J. AU Dept. Pathol., Pritzker Sch. Med., Univ. Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, CS SO J. IMMUNOL., (1982) 129/1 (1-3). CODEN: JOIMA3 CY United States LA English  ${
m IFN}$  is normally not demonstrable in the serum and other body fluids AΒ in the absence of an inducing stimulus, such as virus infection; however, IFN was found at high frequency in the sera of patients with autoimmune diseases including systemic lupus erythromatosus \*\*\*arthritis\*\*\* , and Sjogren's syndrome. In this report we describe the identification of antibodies to IFN-.alpha. (leukocyte IFN) present at a very high titer in the serum of an SLE patient. ANSWER 512 OF 524 COPYRIGHT 1995 DERWENT INFORMATION LTD 5716 Cip3 94-302673 [37] AN DNC C94-159283 ΤI Use of alpha- or \*\*\*beta\*\*\* ~ \*\*\*interferon\*\*\* for preventing or treating an autoimmune disorder, e.g. diabetes, or analyogues arthritis, or transplant rejection. DC B04 D16 IN SOBEL, D O (GEOU) UNIV GEORGETOWN PA CYC PΤ WO 9420122 A1 940915 (9437)\* 36 pp RW: AT BE CH DE DK ES FR GB GR IE IT LU MC NL PT SE AU 9463549 A 940926 (9503) ADT WO 9420122 A1 WO 94-US2154 940307; AU 9463549 A AU 94-63549 940307 FDT AU 9463549 A Based on WO 9420122 PRAI US 93-26758 930305 WO 9420122 A UPAB: 941223 A method of preventing or treating an autoimmune disease in a mammal comprises administering at least one subtype of alpha- or \*\*\*interferon\*\*\* or a hybrid or analogue of either or a mixt. Also claimed are: (1) a method treating an asymptomatic preclinical autoimmune state in a mammal, which comprises administering a single subtype of alpha- or \*\*\*beta\*\*\* - \*\*\*interferon\*\*\* or a hybrid or \*\*\*interferon\*\*\* or a hybrid or analogue of either or a mixt.; (1) a method inhibiting rejection of transplanted islet cells or a pancreas in a mammal having transplanted islet cells or pancreas, comprising administering a single subtype of alpha- or \*\*\*beta\*\*\* - \*\*\*interferon\*\*\* hybrid or analogue or a mixt. USE - The method can be used for treating or preventing autoimmune disorders such as type I \*\*\*diabetes\*\*\* \*\*\*mellitus\*\*\* \*\*\*rheumatoid\*\*\* \*\*\*arthritis\*\*\* , systemic lupus erythematosus, scleroderma, sjogrens syndrome, mixed connective

tissue disease, ankylosis spondylitis, Reiter's syndrome, psoriatic

cirrhosis, autoimmune uveitis, myasthenia gravis, Buerger's disease,

The interferon can be administered at a dose of e.g. 1x105 units to

arthritis, hypersensitivity vasculitis, ulcerative colitis,

Kawasaki's disease, systemic necrotising vasculitis, regional

ANSWER 513 OF 524 COPYRIGHT 1995 DERWENT INFORMATION LTD L71 AN 93-336896 [42]

enteritis and hypoparathyroidism.

75x106 units, e.g. orally.

CR 93-336897 [42]

Dwg.0/2

ding.



AN 86300315 MEDLINE

DN 86300315

TI [Alpha interferon in condylomata acuminata and juvenile diabetes mellitus].

Interferon-alpha bei Condylomata acuminata und juvenilem Diabetes mellitus.

AU Gross G; Roussaki A; Ikenberg H; Drees N

SO DEUTSCHE MEDIZINISCHE WOCHENSCHRIFT, (1986 Sep 5) 111 (36) 1351-5. Journal code: ECL. ISSN: 0012-0472.

CY GERMANY, WEST: Germany, Federal Republic of

DT Journal; Article; (JOURNAL ARTICLE)

LA German

FS Priority Journals; Cancer Journals

EM 198612

AB Pers: tent condylomata acuminata in a 21-year-old patient with diabeles mellitus were treated with highly purified interferon-alpha (IFN-alpha) obtained by recombinant DNA technology. Daily dose was 1.5 X 10(6) IU, given subcutaneously. Already during treatment the condylomata egressed. Two weeks after the end of therapy, i.e. after a total dose of 10.5 X 10(6) IU IFN-alpha, all condylomata had completely receded. Blood glucose levels remained constant with concomitant insulin therapy. Toxic side-effects or antibodies to IFN-alpha were not observed.

- L6 ANSWER 600 OF 697 CAPLUS COPYRIGHT 1998 ACS DUPLICATE 249
- AN 1989:93290 CAPLUS
- DN 110:93290
- TI Effect of interferons and poly(I):poly(C) on the pathogenesis of the diabetogenic variant of encephalomyocarditis virus in different mouse strains
- AU Giron, David J.; Agostini, Heidi J.; Thomas, Donald C.
- CS Coll. Sci. Math., Wright State Univ., Dayton, OH, USA
- SO J. Interferon Res. (1988), 8(6), 745-53 CODEN: JIREDJ: ISSN: 0197-8357
- DT Journal
- LA English
- Interferon (IFN) can either prevent or exacerbate the pathogenic effects of the diabetogenic variant of encephalomyocarditis (EMC-D) virus. The effect seen is dependent upon the mouse strain and the time of IFN administration. Studies were initiated to investigate the role of the IFN system in the pathogenesis of this virus infection. Here IFNs or poly(I):poly(C) were administered to several mouse strains at 24 h before or 4 days after infection with EMC-D virus. The results of such treatment ranged from complete protection of the animals from the diabetogenic effects of the virus to exacerbation of the infection as reflected by the virus content in selected organs. The effect was dependent upon the mouse strain, the type of IFN, and the time of its administration in relation to virus infection.